

IDA

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES

**Technology Transition: Lessons from the
DARPA MEMS Program**

Forrest R. Frank
Richard Singer
Kent Carson
Brian Hearing
Aileen Huang-Saad
Howard Last
Arun Seraphin
Andrew Calhoun
Michael Moorhead

June 2000

Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited.

IDA Document D-2466

Log : H 00-001539

20000922 039

DATA QUALITY UNCLASSIFIED 4

This work was conducted under contract DASW01 98 C 0067, Task DA-2-205, for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency/MTO. The publication of this IDA document does not indicate endorsement by the Department of Defense, nor should the contents be construed as reflecting the official position of that Agency.

© 2000 Institute for Defense Analyses, 1801 N. Beauregard Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22311-1772 • (703) 845-2000.

This material may be reproduced by or for the U.S. Government pursuant to the copyright license under the clause at DFARS 252.227-7013 (NOV 95).

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES

IDA Document D-2466

**Technology Transition: Lessons from the
DARPA MEMS Program**

Forrest R. Frank
Richard Singer
Kent Carson
Brian Hearing
Aileen Huang-Saad
Howard Last
Arun Seraphin
Andrew Calhoun
Michael Moorhead

PREFACE

This document was prepared for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, Microsystems Technology Office, under a task entitled Microelectromechanical Systems Development and Insertion.

Technology Transition: Lessons from the DARPA MEMS Program

Prepared by Forrest R. Frank, Ph. D.,
on behalf of

Dr. Richard Singer

Dr. Kent Carson

Dr. Brian Hearing

Dr. Aileen Huang-Saad

Dr. Howard Last

Dr. Arun Seraphin

Mr. Andrew Calhoun

Mr. Michael Moorhead

Science and Technology Division, Institute for Defense Analyses, Alexandria, Virginia
for Presentation to the Technology Transfer Society Annual Meeting

July 20-22, 2000, Austin, Texas

Presentation Overview



- ➡ • Objective
- Definitions
- MEMS Technology at DARPA
- Institute for Defense Analyses
- Transition Barriers
- Lessons Learned

Objective



- Identify lessons learned in transitioning MEMS technology from the laboratory to the field
- Share lessons from technology transition experience relevant to technology transfer processes

Presentation Overview



- Objective
- Terms of Reference
- MEMS Technology at DARPA
- Institute for Defense Analyses
- Transition Barriers
- Lessons Learned



Terms of Reference (1)



- Technology Transfer and Technology Transition share common goal
 - more uses of R&D products
- Transition
 - Focus on moving from Laboratory to Field within domain of initial concern (needs, requirements)
- Transfer
 - Focus on taking existing intellectual property and expanding applications, use, and number of product sources across multiple domains

Terms of Reference (2)



- Common Processes
 - Matching user (consumer) requirements and needs to product (producer) capabilities
 - Identifying Barriers
 - Developing Strategies to Overcome Those Barriers
 - Making Transition/Transfer Worthwhile

Charting Transition Progress




- Products Incorporated in Fielded Military Systems
- Products Included in Program Objective Memorandum (POM) for Future New Systems
- Products Included in POM for Preplanned Product Improvement
- Products Included in Service Advanced Technology Demonstrations (ATD) or OSD/Joint Service Advanced Technology Concept Demonstrations (ACTDs)
- Products Covered by New Industry/Government Standards
- Product RDT&E and Doctrine Development Efforts Incorporated as Part of Military Service/DOD Component Activities

Commercial Packaged MEMS




Microsensors




ENDEVCO

HEWLETT
PACKARD




Print Cartridges




Microvalves



Projectors



Accelerometers



STOKES VARIETY


Pressure Sensors

Estimated MEMS Sales

| | |
|-------|---------------|
| 1997: | \$1.2 billion |
| 1998: | \$1.3 billion |
| 1999: | \$1.5 billion |
| 2000: | \$1.8 billion |

Source:


Frost and Sullivan (1997)



Microfluidics

Presentation Overview




- Objective
- Terms of Reference
-  MEMS Technology at DARPA
- Institute for Defense Analyses
- Transition Barriers
- Lessons Learned

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency



- Develop imaginative, innovative and high-risk research ideas going well beyond the normal evolutionary developmental approaches
- Pursue these ideas from the demonstration of technical feasibility through the development of prototype systems




DARPA's Microsystems Technology Office




- Focus on the heterogeneous microchip-scale integration of electronics, photonics, and microelectromechanical systems (MEMS).
- High-risk/high-payoff technology seeks
 - solutions to problems of protection from biological, chemical and information attack
 - technology to provide operational dominance for mobile distributed command and control, combined manned/unmanned warfare
 - Infrastructure and methods for dynamic, adaptive military planning and execution

ELECTRONICS • PHOTONICS • MEMS



DARPA MEMS Program (1)



From November 1992 Cover of Scientific American

Dr. William "Bill" C. Tang

Program Manager

Microsystems Technology Office (MTO)

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

Arlington, Virginia 22203-1714

(703) 696-2254 (phone)


(703) 696-2206 (fax)

(703) 696-2249 (Sec'y)


email: wtang@darpa.mil

- The long-term goals of DARPA:
 - merge information processing with sensing and actuation to realize new systems and strategies
 - bring co-located perception and control to the physical, biological, and chemical environment.
- Short-term goals include the following:
 - demonstration of key devices, processes and prototype systems using MEMS technologies
 - development and insertion of MEMS products into commercial and defense systems
 - lowering the barriers to access and commercialization by catalyzing an infrastructure that can support shared, multi-user design, fabrication and testing

Bulk Micromachining




Surface Micromachining



- Built on microelectronics manufacturing technology

LIGA*, Deep UV





Source: IMM (Mainz Institute for Microtechnology)

Military Applications of MEMS




- inertial navigation units on a chip—munitions guidance and personal navigation
- distributed unattended sensors—border control, environmental monitoring, security surveillance, and process control
- integrated fluidic systems—miniature analytical instruments, hydraulic and pneumatic systems, propellant and combustion control
- weapons safing, arming and fuzing—improved current warhead systems and enhanced safety and reliability
- embedded sensors and actuators—condition-based maintenance; on-demand amplified structural strength in lower weight weapons systems/platforms and disaster-resistant buildings
- mass data storage devices—densities of terabytes per square centimeter
- integrated micro-optomechanical components—combat identification, data displays, and fiber-optic switches/modulators
- active, conformable surfaces—distributed aerodynamic aircraft control, adaptive optics, and precision parts and material handling



Presentation Overview



- Objective
- Terms of Reference
- MEMS Technology at DARPA
-  Institute for Defense Analyses
- Transition Barriers
- Lessons Learned

Institute for Defense Analyses



- IDA's Charter
 - Assist the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, the United Commands, and Defense Agencies in addressing important national security issues...requiring scientific and technical expertise
- IDA's Corporate Structure
 - 501 (C)(3) Nonprofit Research and Development Corporation, incorporated in the District of Columbia
 - Independent Board of Trustees
- IDA's Role as an FFRDC
 - Neutral competence, technical referee among services, contractors
 - Prohibited from competing with the private sector


See www.ida.org for additional information about IDA




- Six Divisions with Core Competencies
 - Systems Evaluations
 - Technology Assessments
 - Resource and Support Analyses
 - Force and Strategy Assessments
 - High-Performance Computing and Communications

IDA at a Glance


Total Employees, 1997–1999




IDA Revenue, 1997–1999 (in \$ Millions)



Distribution of IDA Employees by Discipline



Distribution of IDA Employees by Degree



IDA MEMS Task Objectives



- Identify transition opportunities for MEMS in DoD
 - platforms
 - weapon systems
 - personnel
- Facilitate transition of MEMS
- Provide focus to research and development
 - prospective principal investigators
 - current principal investigators
 - contractors
- Apprise DARPA on Return on Investment
 - operations
 - logistics
 - science and technology

Identifying Transition Opportunities



DoD PMs, PEOs
and Contractors,
Interviews,
Workshops and
Conferences,
IDA Technical
Assessments

**Develop Understanding of
Specific DoD Needs**

DOD Program Descriptive Materials

Open literature
World Wide Web
Conferences
Workshops
Interviews
Gray &
Proprietary Info


Technical &
trade literature,
Experts &
Practitioners

Requirements,
R&D, &
ATD/ACTD
Mgrs.

**Track MEMS Technology
Evolution
& Device Availability**

Presentation Overview



- Objective
- Terms of Reference
- MEMS Technology at DARPA
- Institute for Defense Analyses
-  Transition Barriers
- Lessons Learned

Barriers to Technology Transition



- Communication of Requirements, Needs, and Capabilities
- Biases Against “New” within the Acquisition Process
- Immaturity of MEMS Technology and the MEMS Industry

Communications Issues



- Needs and Requirements not clearly communicated
 - DOD buys capabilities, not components, subcomponents, or devices
 - Translating Mission Element Needs Statements (MNS) and Operational Requirements Documents (ORDs) into system requirements is difficult; reducing system requirements to subsystems, components, and devices is even more challenging
- MEMS developers don't use the vocabulary of Program Managers and Prime Contractors
 - What does "it works" really mean?

Acquisition Process Biases



- New Materiel Solutions to be undertaken only after all nonmateriel solutions have been exhausted
 - Doctrine
 - Training and operations
 - Modifications to existing hardware
- Existing commercial and/or government standards to be used in preference to new specifications or standards
- Strong preference for burden sharing
 - Fewer R&D resources favoring those who have infrastructure, clearances, existing relationships

- Immature Industry
 - Lack of Standards
 - Testing
 - Measurement
 - Reliability
 - Shortage of Capital
 - Enthusiasm for Devices
 - DoD buys solutions to problems

Presentation Overview



- Objective
- Terms of Reference
- MEMS Technology at DARPA
- Institute for Defense Analyses
- Transition Barriers
- Lessons Learned



Lessons Learned (1)



- Communication of Requirements, Needs, and Desires
 - Solutions to problems, not devices, packages or specific products
- Customer Identification
 - DoD Program Managers advise Prime Contractors who have ultimate responsibility for system integration, performance, cost, and schedule
 - different audience
 - additional step in acquisition “marketing kill chain”
 - if prime likes device, sale is certain

Lessons Learned (2)



- Resourcing RDT&E
 - Funding for basic and applied research is necessary but not sufficient
 - Funding for technology demonstrations, manufacturability, reliability, and integration into larger products is also necessary
- Systems Level exit criteria crucial to product level success
 - Satisfying the “ilities” of DoD make transition of new technology significantly more difficult than transition of “derivatives”

Lessons Learned (3)



- Transitions are more likely
 - Consumers and researchers have achieved realistic understandings of problems, solution alternatives, and real-world trade-offs in cost and performance
 - Research and development documentation passes tests of “replicability”
 - Accepted test and evaluation processes, standards, and reporting permit realistic comparisons of multiple solutions to an operational problem

Implications for Technology Transfers



- Importance of Effective Communication
 - Requirements, Needs, and Capabilities
- Importance of Focused Resource Investment
 - Demonstrations
 - Prototyping
- Importance of Broader Systems Focus
 - “It’s the solution, not the product!”
- Importance of standards, specifications, and standard test methods to address commercial as well as government interest in reliability, maintainability, etc.

Backup Charts



Correlations of IDA's Efforts and Results



- Improved Communication
 - User needs, requirements translated into meaningful statements for producers
 - Producer capabilities translated into meaningful product/process descriptions for users
- Improved Understanding of Acquisition Process
 - Improved user requirements—statements of need
 - Technology demonstrations
 - Specifications, standards, and roadmapping
 - Earlier incorporation of MEMS technology development in Service/DOD Component funding cycles
- Maturing business practices

Task Outcomes (1)



- Products included in Program Objective Memorandum for future systems
 - MEMS programmed into Joint Strike Fighter baseline configuration
 - MEMS included in DD21 future systems architecture
- Products included in POM for Preplanned Product Improvement
 - Wind-corrected Guided Munition Dispenser
- Products included in Advanced Technology Concept Demonstrations (ACTDs) or Advanced Technology Demonstrations (ATDs)
 - Micro-air Vehicle demonstrations
 - WINS demonstrations at 29 Palms
 - Polychrometer demonstration to Nonproliferation and Arms Control Technology Working Group

Task Outcomes (2)



- Products covered by new industry/government standards
 - Inputs into IEEE 1451 family of “smart sensor” standards
 - Propulsion Instrumentation Working Group (PWIG) subcommittee on MEMS technology for gas turbine engine development instrumentation
- Product research, development, and doctrine development efforts incorporated as part of Military Service/DOD Component activities
 - Warrior Systems Technology Base Executive Steering Committee (TBESC)
 - Air Force Broad Area Announcement (BAA) for structures
 - Air Force BAA for munitions safing, arming, and fuzing devices
 - Navy BAA for munitions guidance, safing, arming, and fuzing devices

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public Reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

| | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) | | 2. REPORT DATE June 2000 | 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final — January — June 2000 | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Technology Transition: Lessons from the DARPA MEMS Program | | | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS DASW01 98 C 0067 DA-2-205 | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) Forrest R. Frank, Richard Singer, Kent Carson, Brian Hearing, Aileen Huang-Saad, Howard Last, Arun Seraphin, Andrew Calhoun, Michael Moorhead | | | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Institute for Defense Analyses 1801 N. Beauregard St. Alexandria, VA 22311-1772 | | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER IDA Document D- 2466 | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency 3701 N. Fairfax Drive Arlington, VA 22210 | | | 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER | |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | | | |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited; 12 September 2000. | | | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE | |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 180 words) DARPA asked IDA to identify barriers to the transition of MEMS technology from DARPA-funded laboratory-scale experiments to DOD military systems. Over the past 3 years, IDA has introduced MEMS technology to DOD systems managers, has translated DOD operational needs and requirements to MEMS Principal Investigators, has brokered MEMS technology demonstrations, and has advised DARPA on strategies and tactics to promote use of MEMS technology in DOD systems. This presentation attempts to identify technology transition lessons that may translate into more efficient and effective technology transfer. | | | | |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS microelectromechanical systems, MEMS, technology transition | | | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 39 | |
| | | | 16. PRICE CODE | |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR | |